

CASE STUDY

JOSEPH DONABED, B.SC. OCTOBER 2ND, 2019

Efficacy of Peragreen® 5.6% (Peracetic Acid) Against *E. coli* in Irrigation Water

Purpose

The goal of this study is to determine the relative efficacy of 2-, 5-, and 10-mg/L peracetic acid (PAA) from **Peragreen® 5.6%** against planktonic *E. coli* with a 2, 5, and 10-minute contact in irrigation water.

Materials and Methods

E. coli Culture

A freeze-dried pellet *E. coli* (ATCC® 25922, Bactrol[™] Plus) was dissolved in 10-mL of Brain Heart Infusion (BHI) Broth (Hardy Diagnostics Cat. No. K25). Next, five aliquots were taken and plated on individual 5% Sheep Blood Tryptic Soy Agar (blood agar) plates. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24-hours. Next, three, 10-µL inoculation loops of bacteria was aseptically transferred from the blood agar plate to 2000-mL of Modesto city water (irrigation water). The *E. coli* solution culture was mixed to ensure homogeneity.

Control Sample Analysis

One 100-mL sample of the untreated inoculated water was aseptically transferred to a sterile jar containing sodium thiosulfate neutralizer. Idexx Colilert-18 powder reagent was then added to the jar and agitated until dissolved. The sample was then transferred to an Idexx Quanti-Tray/2000, heat sealed, and placed in an incubator at $35.0 \pm 0.5^{\circ}$ C. This sample would serve as the undiluted and untreated control sample for *E. coli*. Next, a 1 mL aliquot of the untreated inoculated water was transferred to a sterile jar containing 99 mL of sterile reverse osmosis (RO) water and sodium thiosulfate neutralizer. Idexx Colilert-18 powder reagent was then added to the jar and agitated until dissolved. The sample was transferred to an Idexx Quanti-Tray/2000, heat sealed, and placed in an incubator at $35.0 \pm 0.5^{\circ}$ C. This sample would serve as the 1:100 diluted and untreated control sample for the study.

Next, the *E. coli* stock solution was divided into three individual, 500–mL samples. The individual samples would be treated with 2-, 5-, and 10-ppm active PAA from **Peragreen® 5.6%**.

PAA Treatment

The *E. coli* inoculated water samples were treated with a nominal 2–, 5–, and 10–ppm PAA from **Peragreen® 5.6%** by dosing each sample with 16, 40, and 80–µL, respectively. The solutions were mixed via magnetic stir bar at 200–rmp to simulate in–line mixing. After 2, 5, and 10–minutes of contact, 100–mL samples of the treated water were aseptically transferred to individual sterile jars containing sodium thiosulfate neutralizer. Idexx Colilert-18 powder reagent was then added to the jar and agitated until dissolved. The sample was then transferred to an Idexx Quanti-Tray/2000, heat sealed, and placed in an incubator at 35.0 \pm 0.5°C then enumerated.



Results

 Table 1 lists the E. coli counts in the control (untreated) sample as well as the 2-ppm PAA treated samples after 2, 5, and 10-minutes of contact.

<i>E. coli</i> (MPN/100-mL)	% Reduction
3088	NA
51.2	98.3
ND	>99.9
ND	>99.9
	3088 51.2 ND

 Table 2 lists the *E. coli* counts in the control (untreated) sample as well as the 5-ppm PAA treated samples after 2, 5, and 10-minutes of contact.

Description	<i>E. coli</i> (MPN/100-mL)	% Reduction
Control (Untreated)	3088	NA
5-ppm PAA, 2-minutes	ND	>99.9
5-ppm PAA, 5-minutes	ND	>99.9
5-ppm PAA, 10-minutes	ND	>99.9

Table 3 lists the *E. coli* counts in the control (untreated) sample as well as the 10-ppm PAA treatedsamples after 2, 5, and 10-minutes of contact.

Description	<i>E. coli</i> (MPN/100-mL)	% Reduction
Control (Untreated)	3088	NA
10-ppm PAA, 2-minutes	ND	>99.9
10-ppm PAA, 5-minutes	ND	>99.9
10-ppm PAA, 10-minutes	ND	>99.9

Conclusions:

- The untreated control sample contained an *E. coli* count of 3088 MPN/100-mL
- Treatment with a nominal 2-ppm PAA from Peragreen 5.6% for 2-minutes decreased the E. coli count to 51.2 MPN/100-mL which equates to a 98.3% reduction in 2-minutes. E. coli was non-detect (ND) after 5- and 10-minutes of contact.
- Treatment with a nominal 5- and 10-ppm PAA from Peragreen for 2-minutes resulted in no viable *E. coli* which equates to a >99.9% reduction.

It should be noted that while laboratory studies are often representative, full-scale application performance may differ due to the presence of variables that cannot be replicated in the laboratory.